PRINTER RUSH (PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application: 10 705,4	45 Examiner: _	Bruce	GAU:	2882						
From: MR	Location: (MF FDC	Date:	<u>12-03-05</u>						
Tracking #: EPM 10705 445 Week Date: 10-10-05										
DOC CODE 1449 1DS CLM IIFW SRFW DRW OATH 312	DOC DATE	MISCELL Continuing Foreign Price Document I Fees Other	Data prity							
SPEC SPEC	11-11-63	40 4 00	1g 12							
[RUSH] MESSAGE: Toble 3 on pay. 42 of specification has columns 3,4+5 that clostdins cut of data/line. Also, Please verify if dates on columns 4+5 is urder Total weight or line is post missing or cut-off. If necessary, make corrections.										
Please resolve. Thank you, MR										
[XRUSH] RESPONSE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	ce mise com	~~								
		The state of the s								
		<u> </u>	INITI	ALS(42)						

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH. **REV 10/04**



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Serial Number 10705445

Date Mailed 12/27/05

NOTICE TO FILE CORRECTED APPLICATION PAPERS

Notice of Allowance Mailed

This application has been accorded an Allowance Date and is being prepared for issuance. The application, however, is incomplete for the reasons below.

Applicant is given 30 days from the mail date of this Notice within which to correct the informalities indicated below. A failure to reply will result in the application being ABANDONED. This period for reply is NOT extendable under 37 CFR 1.136 (a) or (b).

- Specification page 42, table 3 has missing data in columns 3-5. Fax missing information to number below or e-mail.
 - O For status updates visit http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR System, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) toll free at 866-217-9197.

APPLICANT MUST SUPPLY MISSING INFORMATION WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE MAIL DATE OF THIS NOTICE.

A copy of this notice <u>MUST</u> be returned with the reply. Please address response to Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Rori Burch USPTO

Publishing Division Rori.burch@uspto.gov Fax (703) 746-6830 Fax (703) 308-6642

703-305-0333 ext.135 (V)

EDS Scoring Criteria

		ing Criteria	<u> </u>	111 1				1			Weight
Rank	Best			Worst							_
Score	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Factor
Evaluation Criteria											note 10
Prob. Of Detection, %	>99	99 - 98	98 - 97	97 - 95	95 - 90	90 - 85	85 - 80	80 - 75	75 - 70	<70	20
Specificity (number of material parameters measured)	5 or more	4	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	14
Prob. Of False Positive, %	<0.1	0.1 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.5	0.5 - 1	1-5	5 - 10	10 - 20	20 - 30	> 30	14
Unit Cost, \$K	< 200	200 - 500	500 - 800	800 - 1000	1000 - 1200	1200 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 3000	3000 - 5000	>5000	13
Annual Maintenance Cost, \$K	< 20	20 - 50	50 - 80	80 - 100	100 - 120	120 - 150	150 - 200	200 - 300	300 - 500	>500	2
Annual Operational Support, Full Time Equivalent Staff	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	4	5	>5	2
Availability to Market, months	Now	1-6	6-12	12 – 24	24-36						10
Footprint, SqFt.	< 50	50 – 100	100-200	200 - 500	500 - 1000	1000 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 2500	2500 - 3000	>3000	6
Total Weight, Ib	< 500	500 - 1000	1000 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 5000	5000 - 10000	10000 - 1500	5000 - 2000	20000 - 25000	>25000	3
Throughput (objects/min)	> 25	25 – 15	15 - 5	5-1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3
Automation	Auto level 1 and 2	Auto level 1 and manual level 2	Auto level 1	Manual level 1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
Defeat Immunity	Can't be defeated	Defeatable by expert, indicates defeated	Defeatable easily and inciateds defeated	Defeatable and does not indicate defeated.	N/A	N/A	n/A	N/A	n/a	N/A	10

Table 3: EDS Scoring Criteria of this invention

EDS Scoring Criteria

		ing orner									
Rank	Best			Worst							Weight
Score	10	9	В	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Factor
Evaluation Criteria											note 10
Prob. Of Detection, %	>99	99 - 98	98 - 97	97 - 95	95 - 90	90 - 85	85 - 80	80 - 75	75 - 70	<70	20
Specificity (number of material parameters measured)	5 or more	4	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	14
Prob. Of False Positive, %	<0.1	0.1 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.5	0.5 - 1	1-5	5 - 10	10 - 20	20 - 30	> 30	14
Unit Cost , \$K	< 200	200 - 500	500 - 800	800 - 1000	1000 - 1200	1200 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 3000	3000 - 5000	>5000	13
Annual Maintenance Cost, \$K	< 20	20 - 50	50 - 80	80 - 100	100 - 120	120 - 150	150 - 200	200 - 300	300 - 500	>500	2
Annual Operational Support, Full Time Equivalent Staff	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	4	5	>5	2
Availability to Market, months	Now	1.6	6-12	12-24	24-36						10
Footprint, SqFt.	< 50	50 - 100	100-200	200 - 500	500 - 1000	1000 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 2500	2500 - 3000	>3000	6
Total Weight, lb	< 500	500 - 1000	1000 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 5000				20000 - 25000		3
Throughput (objects/min)	> 25	25 - 15	15-5	5-1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3
Automation	Auto level 1 and 2	Auto level 1 and manual level 2	Auto level 1	Manual level	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
Defeat Immunity	Can't be defeated	Defeatable by expert, indicates defeated	Defeatable easily and inciateds defeated	Defeatable and does not indicate defeated.	N/A	n/a	n/a	N/A	N/A	N/A	10

EDS Scores-

[00109] Scores can be obtained for various explosive detection sub-systems (EDS). The characteristics of each EDS, recorded on an EDS Analysis Factors Form, are the basis for the scores.

[00110] The Figure of Merit is the sum of the products of the scores multiplied by the corresponding weighting factor, all divided by 100. An example of an EDS Ranking is shown below in Table 4.